Trauma Surgery & Acute Care Open Challenges in acute care surgery: management of severe proximal blunt aortic injury

Gregory R Stettler 💿 ,¹ David S Crouse,² Gabriela A Velazquez,² R Shayn Martin¹

CASE SUMMARY

¹Department of Surgery, Division of Acute Care Surgery, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, USA ²Vascular and Endovascular

Surgery, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Gregory R Stettler; gstettle@ wakehealth.edu

An adult patient in their 60s presents to the emergency department after sustaining injuries in a motor vehicle collision. At the scene, the patient was intubated for airway protection. The patient had absent breath sounds on the right with obvious chest wall trauma, and a field needle thoracostomy was performed. Once the patient arrived at the trauma center, they were hypotensive with systolic blood pressure of 70 mm Hg. The airway was confirmed, whereas simultaneous right tube thoracostomy and blood product transfusion were initiated. They had rapid improvement in their hemodynamics. A focused assessment with sonography for trauma examination demonstrated no fluid in the abdomen or pericardium. Pelvic X-ray showed no significant injury; however, the patient's chest X-ray was significant for widening of the mediastinum.

CT imaging was performed which revealed multiple bilateral rib fractures, a small subdural hemorrhage and small subarachnoid hemorrhage, C2 vertebral body fracture with possible ligamentous injury, a left humerus and scapular body fracture, manubrium fracture, and a severe blunt aortic injury (BAI) with pseudoaneurysm proximal to the left subclavian artery (American Association for the Surgery of Trauma (AAST) grade IV or Society for Vascular Surgery (SVS) grade III) measuring almost 2 cm in size (figure 1). They were also noted to have an anatomic variant with the left vertebral artery arising directly from the aortic arch.

WHAT WOULD YOU DO?

- A. Admit to the intensive care unit (ICU), no impulse control, and repeat CT scan 24–48 hours
- B. Admit to the ICU with impulse control, and repeat CT scan 24–48 hours
- C. Proceed to the operating room for endovascular repair of BAI
- D. Proceed to the operating room for total arch replacement for BAI

WHAT WE DID AND WHY

C. Proceed to the operating room for endovascular repair of BAI

Our decision to pursue an endovascular repair of this injury was multifactorial. In the setting of a severe (AAST grade IV or SVS grade III) BAI, non-operative management was not indicated. The neurosurgery team was reasonably comfortable with anticoagulation administration for an endovascular repair of the aortic injury. The two operative options considered were endovascular repair or an open repair; however, it was thought that an open total arch repair or replacement, with high-dose heparinization and cardiopulmonary bypass, was exceedingly risky in this multiple system-injured patient. Therefore, an endovascular technique was pursued. The location of the injury (proximal to the subclavian artery, as well as an anatomic variation of the vertebral artery arising directly from the aortic arch) significantly increased the complexity of the case. It was expected that the planned thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) would cover the left subclavian artery and left vertebral artery takeoff from the aortic arc and therefore preoperative discussions with family regarding risk of stroke and upper extremity ischemia were made.

In the operating room, bilateral common femoral arteries were accessed percutaneously. An aortic arch aortogram was obtained (figure 2) which confirmed aortic pseudoaneurysm proximal to left subclavian at the level of the left vertebral artery takeoff from the arch. Systemic heparin was given. Unfortunately, there was little room between the vertebral artery and common carotid artery takeoff. To completely cover the injury, the decision was made to cover both the left vertebral and left subclavian artery. The thoracic aortic endograft was deployed without issue and showed resolution of the aortic injury on completion angiography (figure 3).

The primary challenge in this case was triage of injuries and determination of most appropriate therapeutic intervention for the patient's BAI. In this case, with the absence of intra-abdominal

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Stettler GR, Crouse DS, Velazquez GA, *et al. Trauma Surg Acute Care Open* 2024;**9**:e001249.



Figure 1 Initial chest CT scan demonstrating an aortic pseudoaneurysm with periaortic hematoma in the axial (A), coronal (B), and sagittal (C) planes.

1



Contributors GRS, DSC, GAV, and RSM participated in the care of the patient, and wrote and critically revised the article.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Gregory R Stettler http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7429-1240



Figure 2 Initial aortogram revealing a pseudoaneurysm proximal to left subclavian origination at about the level of the left vertebral takeoff from the aortic arch.



Figure 3 Completion aortogram after deployment of thoracic endograft. The left subclavian and left vertebral arteries were intentionally covered.