

Making publishing in trauma and acute care surgery possible for all resource settings: a moral and ethical imperative

Ajai K Malhotra ,¹ Elliott R Haut ,^{2,3} Tina Gaarder⁴

The study published by LaGrone *et al* examines the financial barriers faced by low/middle-income countries (LMICs) in the form of article processing fees (APCs) to publish into, and pay walls to read and access, major surgical journals.¹ The study shines a light on two important issues dealing with equity as it applies to surgical science in terms of (1) access to existing knowledge to improve surgical care in LMICs, and (2) the ability to generate, publish and disseminate new knowledge that may be more relevant and applicable to the LMIC environment.

Eighty-six percent of the global population resides in LMICs, and the scale of surgically avertable mortality in these countries is staggering. In a World Bank study in 2015, it was estimated that if basic surgical care could be provided to all, approximately 1.5 million lives would be saved in LMICs.² Despite this grim statistic, LMICs face an almost unsurmountable financial barrier in terms of access to the surgical literature that could help alleviate this burden. For example, it is well-known that implementing simple, low-cost solutions like guidelines, algorithms and protocols reduces variability in care and improves outcomes. These protocols can be used by not only physicians but also non-physician surgical providers who provide an essential service in remote and rural areas of LMICs. However, over 90% of surgical literature is created and published in high-income countries (HICs) and as demonstrated in LaGrone *et al*'s study, 'pay walls' make this literature out of reach for most healthcare providers in LMICs.

On the knowledge creation side, much of the published literature coming out of

HICs may not be relevant or applicable in LMICs due to resource constraints and vastly different contexts of care. At the same time, there are likely many low-resource solutions that are being developed and would be directly applicable in LMICs, but due to APCs cannot be published and disseminated for others to emulate. To address some of these issues, open access (OA) was developed to make knowledge freely available to all consumers anywhere in the world. However, although OA addresses the 'access' issue, it fails to address the 'creation' side of the equation and newer models of knowledge creation and access need to be developed.³

As the editor in chief and deputy editors for Global Strategy/Outreach at *Trauma Surgery and Acute Care Open* (TSACO), we think it is our moral and ethical duty to address these disparities. Recent changes at BMJ Publishing, publisher of TSACO, abolishing APC for all 125 HINARI A and B countries is a welcome development and a step in the right direction. Articles from these countries will be published OA for the world to read, free of charge. Although this is an important first step, APC waiver in and of itself does not lead to equity in publishing as summarized by Rouhi *et al*.³ To address this, TSACO has also implemented multiple changes at the highest level including (1) appointing two deputy editors (Drs Malhotra and Gaarder) to oversee global outreach and international inclusion with diverse points of view; (2) expanding the global editorial board by adding international editors from multiple countries across the spectrum of socioeconomic human development; and (3) developing partnerships with international and national professional societies from all over the world. We hope this editorial communicates efforts by TSACO (BMJ) to help overcome some of the barriers. Our waiver policy is fully transparent and available online (https://tsaco.bmj.com/pages/authors#wavers_and_discounts).

With these initiatives, it is our sincere hope that TSACO will become the 'go-to' journal for the international surgical community and help achieve our vision

'to provide the global trauma and acute care surgery community with free access to top-notch scientific information'.

Contributors All authors contributed equally.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests ERH reports research funding from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI), the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), and the National Institutes of Health National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NIH/NHLBI). ERH is editor in chief of TSACO. AKM and TG are the deputy editors for Global Strategy/Outreach at TSACO.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.



OPEN ACCESS

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



To cite Malhotra AK, Haut ER, Gaarder T. *Trauma Surg Acute Care Open* 2024;9:e001370. doi:10.1136/tsaco-2024-001370

Received 8 January 2024
Accepted 16 January 2024



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/tsaco-2023-001238>

Trauma Surg Acute Care Open 2024;9:e001370.
doi:10.1136/tsaco-2024-001370

ORCID iDs

Ajai K Malhotra <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8273-9818>
Elliott R Haut <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7075-771X>

REFERENCES

- Wojcik M, Conner H, Farley A, Huaman E, Luyo M, Thomas-Pate S, LaGrone L. Access to evidence-based care: a systematic review of trauma and surgical literature costs across resource settings. *Trauma Surg Acute Care Open* 2024;9:e001238.
- Debas HT, Donkor P, Gawande A, eds. Essential Surgery: Disease Control Priorities, Third edition (volume 1). Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank, 2015.
- Rouhi S, Beard R, Brundy C. Left in the cold: the failure of APC waiver programs to provide author equity. *Sci Editor* 2022;45:5–13.

¹Surgery, University of Vermont Medical Center, Burlington, Vermont, USA

²Department of Emergency Medicine, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

³Armstrong Institute for Patient Safety and Quality, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

⁴Department of Traumatology, Oslo Universitet Hospital, Oslo, Norway

Correspondence to Dr Ajai K Malhotra; ajai.malhotra@uvmhealth.org